

**LaGuardia Community College  
City University of New York  
Division of Student Affairs**

*Motto: “Providing Help and Hope for Students”*

# Assessment

November 2010

# OVERVIEW

- Purposes for Assessment in Student Affairs
- Characteristics of a Good Assessment
- Assessment Model
- Methodology

# Reasons for Assessment in Student Affairs

- Communicate to internal and external audiences the worth, importance, and effectiveness of Student Affairs
- Provide a basis to retain and increase funding
- Provide a basis for policy development and decision making
- Respond to political pressures and realities
- Provide a basis for strategic planning

# Reasons for Assessment in Student Affairs (Cont'd)

- Skepticism about the value of Student Affairs to the students holistic educational experiences
- Communicate the value of Student Affairs to the students holistic educational experiences
- Lack of clarity regarding the impact of out-of-the classroom programs and services on the social and academic development of student

# Dimensions of Student Affairs Assessment

- Assessment of Service Functions
- Assessment of Students' College-Wide Experiences and Perceptions
- Assessment of Student Learning and Development Outcomes

# Six Approaches to Assessment in Student Affairs

- Utilization
- Needs
- Satisfaction
- Professional Standards
- Benchmarking
- College-Wide Student Experiences and sPerceptions
- Assessment of Student Learning and Development Outcomes

# Ask Yourself These Questions

- What decision did you make about your programs/services last year?
- What evidence did you use to inform that decision?
- What influenced you when making decisions about your programs/services with the stated evidence.

# Characteristics of a Good Assessment

- Comprehensive, systematic, ongoing, and evolutionary
- Broad involvement from everyone
- Clear, assessable goals and objectives
- Uses a variety of assessment and evaluation methodologies
- Collects meaningful and accurate data
- Primary emphasis is on improvement of programs/services

# Assessment Cycle

## **Key questions...**

- What are we trying to do and why? or
- What are my programs/services supposed to accomplish?
- How well are we doing with programs/services?
- How do we know?
- How do we use the information to improve or celebrate successes?
- Do the improvements in programs/services work?

# The Iterative Systematic Assessment Cycle

Adapted from  
Peggy Maki, Ph.D. by  
Marilee J. Bresciani, Ph.D.



# Six Step Assessment Model

1. Mission – Articulate unit name, primary purpose, primary activities, audience
2. Goals – top 3-5 “planning-type” statements
3. Outcomes – 3-5 specific (i.e. measurable) statements
4. Measures – Direct measures can verify learning outcomes, attitudinal change or behavior modification. Indirect measures typically reflect student satisfaction and/or self-reported understandings
5. Collection of Data – Research instruments (e.g., pre-post tests, surveys, focus groups, performances) designed to gather qualitative & quantitative information
6. Closing the Circle – Resultant data should be used when considering program/service improvement modifications, etc.

# Why Move Away from Student Satisfaction Assessment?

- This methodology doesn't:
- help you understand the contributions of your programs/services
- tell you how your programs/services contribute to student development and learning
- help you make decisions for continuous improvement of programs/services

## Example of Changing Satisfaction to Student Development and Learning Outcomes

- 97% of the admission service orientation participants will agree or strongly agree that the admission service session provided information and assistance that were helpful to their completion of application.
- Students completing the admission service orientation session will demonstrate an understanding of completing the application form properly.

# Methodology

- Quantitative (Define)
- Involves the assignment of numbers to observations
- Uses to fit varying perspectives and experiences into predetermined categories
- Concerns with representativeness and generalization of a sample to a larger population

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Focus
  - Quantity...how much? how many?
- Population
  - Purposeful Sample
  - Random Selection

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Methods
  - Experiments
  - Surveys
  - Instruments

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Strategy
  - Deductive-Typically starts with a hypothesis
- Instruments
  - Surveys
  - Inventories

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Data Analysis
  - Uses statistical methods
- Findings
  - Precise
  - Numeral

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Advantages
  - Findings tend to be highly accepted by scholars and politicians
- Disadvantages
  - Researcher tends to view variables as remaining constant
  - Oversimplification of the issue

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Example of Research Question:
  - Does the exposure to the financial literacy course during the first semester increase the probability that a student with triple remediation will return the second semester?

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Example of Research Question:
  - What impact do numbers of credits earned, GPA, gender, and full time employment have on students' participation in student government?

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Qualitative (Define)
  - Study a phenomenon in its natural setting
  - Interpret the meanings individuals constructed, i.e., how they make senses of the world and their experiences

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Focus
  - Quality..comprehensive..descriptive
- Population
  - Small
  - Purposeful

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Sampling

- Homogeneous
  - Stratified
  - Criterion
  - Confirming or challenging prior findings
  - Opportunistic
  - Politically sensitive
- Convenience  
Snowball or chain

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Methods
  - Interviews
  - Focus groups
  - Observations
  - Document analysis (public or personal records)

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Data Analysis
  - Field notes...ongoing
  - Inductive approach..theory emerge from data
  - Triangulation of Data-cross-checking of data...interviews, documents, and observations

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Findings
  - Comprehensive
  - Holistic
  - Descriptive

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Advantages
  - Flexible, generate insight in student behavior
- Disadvantages
  - Time consuming
  - Challenges with data analysis
  - Trustworthiness issue

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Example of Research Question:
  - How does the policy of \$100 commitment fee influence students perceptions of LaGuardia Community College?

# Methodology (Cont'd)

- Example of Research Question:
  - How do GED students' perceptions of affinity group affiliation influence their decision to graduate from LaGuardia?

# Assessing Against Professional Standards (Council for the Advanced Standard in Higher Education-CAS)

- The CAS standards were not written specifically for two-year institutions, but are broad enough to still be useful.

# Assessing Against Professional Standards (Cont'd)

- Those using the CAS standards decide on methods to evaluate current practice against the standards, determine discrepancies between the two, note corrective actions, and prepare an action plan.

# Choosing A Method

- It is important to choose method based on what you are trying to assess
- Consider what method will influence your constituents
- Consider what will provide you with the best information to make decisions
- Be able to justify your choice of method

# Evaluation

- Analyze the data to determine the degree to which the outcomes were met
- Create a plan for improvement especially in areas of deficit
- Execute the plan

# Closing the Assessment Loop

- Report outcomes to stakeholders
- Report areas that the intended outcomes were met
- Report areas that the intended outcomes were not met

# Closing the Assessment Loop (Cont'd)

- Report/document decisions made to improve functional areas
- Refine assessment method and repeat process

# Putting It All Together!

Student Affairs Assessment  
is a  
**Collective Responsibility**



# Discussion

- **Questions**

- **Answers**

# References

- American College Personnel Association (1994). *The student learning imperative: Implications for student affairs*. Washington, DC.
- American College Personnel Association. (1996) *The student learning imperative: Implications for student affairs* [online]. Available: [www.acpa.nche.edu/sli/sli.htm](http://www.acpa.nche.edu/sli/sli.htm)
- Bresciani, M.J., Zelna, C.L., and Anderson, J.A. (2004). *Techniques for Assessing Student Learning and Development in Academic and Student Support Services*. Washington D.C.:NASPA.
- The National Association of Student Personnel Administrators The American College Personnel Association. (2004). *Learning Reconsidered: A campus-wide focus on the student experience*.

# References (Cont'd)

- Schuh, J. H. & Upcraft, M. L. (2001). *Assessment practice in student affairs*. San Francisco, Ca: Jossey-Bass Publisher.

**Dr. Marcia Caton**

**email: [mcaton@lagcc.cuny.edu](mailto:mcaton@lagcc.cuny.edu)**

*Do not reproduce this content without permission from the author.*